

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE <div style="text-align: center;">J</div>		PAGE OF PAGES <div style="text-align: center;">1 8</div>	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. <div style="text-align: center;">0003</div>		3. EFFECTIVE DATE <div style="text-align: center;">29-Mar-2006</div>		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. DDFAES-5223-N999		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable) <div style="text-align: center;">F1-00102-5J</div>	
6. ISSUED BY ACA FORT RILEY DIRECTORATE OF CONTRACTING 802 MARSHALL LOOP FORT RILEY KS 66442		CODE W911RX		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) <div style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold;">See Item 6</div>			
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)				X		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. W911RX-06-R-0001	
				X		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 17-Mar-2006	
						10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
						10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE		FACILITY CODE					
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.							
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)							
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.							
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.							
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).							
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:							
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)							
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.							
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) JOC Solicitation: W911RX-06-R-0001 PURPOSE: [1]. Extend the solicitation closing date to: 2 May 2006 at 2:00 pm CDT [2]. Delete Clause 52.236-1 [3]. Correct statement in Section M, paragraph 3.a.(2). [4]. Add Technical Exhibits 1.1 and 1.2 and provide instructions to obtain each. [5]. Delete Technical Exhibit 1. [6]. Section 01440 of the Specifications is not included on the CD provided. This section is added as Technical Exhibit 6 to the solicitation. See Note 3 of the List of Documents, Exhibits and Other Documents. [7]. Update Section L to update delivery date and time of, Completed Bid Schedule; Section K - Representation, Certifications and Other Statements by Offerors; a Bid Bond and a listing of the Offeror's Past Performance information							
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.							
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)				16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)			
				TEL: _____ EMAIL: _____			
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		15C. DATE SIGNED		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 29-Mar-2006	

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION A - SOLICITATION/CONTRACT FORM

The required response date/time has changed from 18-Apr-2006 02:00 PM to 02-May-2006 02:00 PM.

SECTION I - CONTRACT CLAUSES

The following have been deleted:

52.236-1 Performance of Work by the Contractor APR 1984

SECTION J - LIST OF DOCUMENTS, EXHIBITS AND OTHER ATTACHMENTS

The Table of Contents has changed from:

Exhibit/Attachment Table of Contents

DOCUMENT TYPE	DESCRIPTION	PAGES	DATE
Technical Exhibit (TE-1)	Land for Contractor Storage	1	January 20, 2006
Technical Exhibit (TE-2.1)	Sample Calculation	1	January 20, 2006
Technical Exhibit (TE-2.2)	Sample Calculation	1	January 20, 2006
Technical Exhibit (TE-3.1)	Wage Determination	1	January 20, 2006
Technical Exhibit (TE-3.2)	Wage Determination	1	January 20, 2006
Technical Exhibit (TE-3.3)	Wage Determination	1	January 20, 2006
Technical Exhibit (TE-4)	Past Performance Questionnaire	1	January 20, 2006
Technical Exhibit (TE-5) CD-ROM Format	Construction Task Catalog & Technical Specifications	1	March 2006

to:

Exhibit/Attachment Table of Contents

DOCUMENT TYPE	DESCRIPTION	PAGES	DATE
Technical Exhibit (TE-1.1) ¹	Land for Contractor Storage Close up view of actual location	1	March 28, 2006

Technical Exhibit (TE-1.2) ²	Land for Contractor Storage General Site location	1	March 28, 2006
Technical Exhibit (TE-2.1)	Sample Calculation	1	January 20, 2006
Technical Exhibit (TE-2.2)	Sample Calculation	1	January 20, 2006
Technical Exhibit (TE-3.1)	Wage Determination	1	January 20, 2006
Technical Exhibit (TE-3.2)	Wage Determination	1	January 20, 2006
Technical Exhibit (TE-3.3)	Wage Determination	1	January 20, 2006
Technical Exhibit (TE-4)	Past Performance Questionnaire	1	January 20, 2006
Technical Exhibit (TE-5) CD-ROM Format	Construction Task Catalog & Technical Specifications	1	March 2006
Technical Exhibit (TE-6) ³	Construction Task Catalog & Technical Specifications - Section 01440	6	March 2006

^{1 and 2} Due to security reasons, maps may not be published on the Fort Riley website. To request a map, please contact: Keith Schwanke at keith.schwanke@us.army.mil or 785-239-2093.

³ Section 01440, Construction Quality Management – Contractor Quality Control, is not included on the March 2006 CD-ROM version. This section is provided in Adobe (.pdf) format at the Fort Riley website <http://www.riley.army.mil/Services/Fort/Contracting.asp>.

SECTION L - INSTRUCTIONS, CONDITIONS AND NOTICES TO BIDDERS

The following have been modified:

INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS

1. PREPROPOSAL CONFERENCE

A preproposal conference in connection with the Request for Proposals will be held at Fort Riley, Kansas, on **March 31, 2006**. Technical and administrative personnel will be on hand to discuss the requirements and answer questions. In order to expedite the discussions, prospective offerors are requested to SUBMIT WRITTEN QUESTIONS specifying the section and paragraph of the RFP for which clarification is desired. Questions shall be submitted to:

Directorate of Contracting
ATTN: Keith Schwanke
802 Marshall Loop
Fort Riley, Kansas 66442, **OR**

e-mail to docinvoices@riley.army.mil
Phone number (785) 239-2093

However, questions that cannot be submitted in time to reach the Contracting Officer on or before **March 29, 2006** may be submitted at the conference and will be addressed if possible at that time. ALL QUESTIONS MUST BE

SUBMITTED IN WRITING IN EITHER HARDCOPY OR BY E-MAIL. A record of the conference proceedings, including questions and answers, will be made available to each prospective offeror.

Attendance is voluntary and shall be at no cost to the Government.

2. PROPOSAL SUBMITTAL INSTRUCTIONS

Proposals containing extraneous and irrelevant material will be viewed as a lack of understanding of the requirements on the part of the offeror. Proposals shall be submitted in a sealed envelope containing the following:

The quality proposal described below shall be clearly marked "Quality Proposal RFP No. W911RX-06-R-0001" and submitted only on two separate CDs (one complete copy of the proposal per CD). The Quality Proposal shall be limited to an equivalent of 100 8-1/2" x 11" pages single-sided, and separated by three separate files as specified in Section M, para 3.a. Hard (i.e. paper) copies of this portion of the proposal will not be accepted. Two copies of the pricing proposal shall be submitted on separate CDs from the Quality Proposal. They shall be clearly marked "Pricing Proposal, RFP No. W911RX-06-R-0001".

Past Performance assessment shall be submitted in accordance with Section L, paragraph 4 of this solicitation and shall be prepared in two (2) copies.

A completed Bid Schedule; Section K – Representation, Certifications and Other Statements by Offerors; a Bid Bond (see Section H); and a **listing** of the Offeror's Past Performance information for the most recent three years (see Paragraph 4 below for required Past Performance Questionnaire) to be received on or before the **closing date and time for the solicitation**. The envelope shall be submitted to the address listed below containing the information as described in these instructions. The address for submission of these items is:

Directorate of Contracting
ATTN: Keith Schwanke
802 Marshall Loop
Fort Riley, Kansas 66442
Phone number (785) 239-2093

Electronic submission by CD-ROM of all information is the required method, except as specifically noted. All electronic documents must be able to be opened by MS Word and/or MS Excel as may be necessary for the particular document. The envelope shall be clearly marked RFP No. W911RX-06-R-0001

3. QUALITY FACTOR

The quality factor consists of the following: (1) Management Control Plan; (2) Quality Control Plan; and (3) Work Execution.

The offeror shall prepare the quality proposal in a form that follows the outline of evaluation factors specified in Section M, Evaluation Factors for Award. Care should be taken to ensure that **no** pricing information is contained in the quality proposal. As a minimum, the following information is offered to assist you in developing your quality proposal.

(1) The Management Control Plan (Subfactor 1): List the proposed minimum management staffs' (on-site staff to include key managers) backgrounds, years and types of experience, educational requirements and their respective positions with regard to this contract management plan. Do not list the actual personnel by name. Discuss the overall management ability to coordinate multiple subcontractors on single or multiple projects; outline a plan for providing sketches, plans, shop drawings and as-built drawings; demonstrate ability to achieve desired response times; purchasing system required or in place to function effectively; plan to administer payroll and labor relations functions.

(2) Explain your proposed Quality Control Plan (Subfactor 2) and how it meets the criteria listed at specification Section 01440. Describe a proposed safety plan, explaining how Occupational Safety Health Administration (OSHA) and the requirements of EM 385-1-1 will be met.

(3) Discuss your Work Execution (Subfactor 3). Areas of consideration should include, but are not limited to the extent and rationale for in-house/subcontract work distribution; subcontracting support capability; criteria for subcontractor selection; plan for coordinating, scheduling, and ensuring timeliness of work execution and completion of multiple projects with multiple subcontractors.

4. PAST PERFORMANCE FACTOR

A past performance assessment will be a means of evaluating the credibility of the Offeror's proposal and relative capability to meet performance requirements. The Government will focus on information that demonstrates quality of performance relative to the size and complexity of the procurement. A Past Performance Questionnaire is included in this solicitation (Technical Exhibit TE-4, Past Performance Questionnaire). The Offeror is responsible for submitting the questionnaire to obtain references on all contracts they have been involved in during the past three years. The Offeror shall have the completed questionnaires sent back to them in a sealed envelope and will then submit the completed questionnaires to the contracting officer at the address in Section L, paragraph 1. **The completed questionnaires shall be received by the Fort Riley Contracting Office on or before the closing date and time for the solicitation.** Your completed Past Performance Questionnaires will be reviewed and rated by the evaluators as part of the evaluation process. Offerors that are newly formed entities with no contract experience shall obtain references for its key personnel with respect to work they performed on prior contracts and subcontracts. Additionally, the newly formed Offeror shall use the Past Performance Questionnaire for its key personnel. If information is being provided for key personnel, that fact shall be clearly stated. If an Offeror, or the proposed key personnel for the Offeror, do not have a past performance history relating to this solicitation, the Offeror will not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably on past performance.

5. PRICE FACTOR

After review of submitted quality proposals have concluded, the Offerors who are determined to be in the competitive range shall have their pricing proposals reviewed.

Each offeror shall submit in Section B of this solicitation its price coefficients to accomplish the tasks described herein. The Contractor shall submit, with the price proposal section, the completed (original) Standard Form 1442 (SF 1442). The pricing proposal shall include all the requisite cost elements (to include the offeror's weighted assessment) that comprise the coefficient.

Proposed prices shall be balanced. An unbalanced proposal is based on estimated costs significantly understated for some work and significantly overstated for other work.

The offeror shall provide documentation with its price proposal supporting that bonding can be obtained up to the maximum dollar limitation of this contract. This requirement provides assurance to the government that the offeror is capable of providing bond coverage for awarded delivery orders up to the total contract maximum value.

OVERHEAD RATES and/or GENERAL & ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES. The Offeror shall provide an explanation of the expense pool, base and computation of the proposed rate. The pool and base will be broken down into individual cost elements showing trends and budgetary data in sufficient detail to allow government evaluation of the proposed rates for reasonableness.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION.

(1) If the offeror has been audited by a government agency, provide the audit agency name, point of contact, phone number and date of audit.

(2) The latest available complete financial statements for the most recent fiscal year and a statement of any financial aid now in existence or that will be requested from the Government in the event of a contract award shall be included as a part of the offeror's proposal. These financial statements shall reflect the specific condition of the offerors particular division with whom Fort Riley will contract.

(3) A cut-off date for pricing data used in developing the price proposal shall be indicated as a part of the offerors proposal. Significant changes in pricing data occurring after submission of the proposal and prior to contract negotiations shall be furnished as soon as available in order to be included in the evaluation process.

DISPOSITION OF PROPOSALS: After evaluation, selection, and contract award, unsuccessful proposals will be disposed of as follows:

- (1) One copy of each unsuccessful proposal will be retained by the Contracting Officer.
- (2) The remaining proposals will be destroyed or returned.
- (3) The awarded contract proposal, if successful will be incorporated into the contract at award.

6. INQUIRIES AND SOLICITATION MATERIAL

For other information concerning this solicitation write, email or call (no collect calls):

Directorate of Contracting
ATTN: Keith Schwanke
802 Marshall Loop
Fort Riley, KS 66442
Phone: (785) 239-0471
e-mail: docinvoices@riley.army.mil

SECTION M - EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

The following have been modified:

EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

Evaluation Factors for Award

1. BASIS FOR AWARD

a. Subject to the terms and conditions contained herein, award will be made to the single offeror offering the best value to the Government. Offerors are advised that only offers submitted on a Firm-Fixed Price basis will be considered. No proposal will be accepted that does not contain the total amount of work specified in this solicitation.

b. Selection procedures will be utilized as explained below:

- (1) The initial round for this solicitation shall be review of the quality portion of the submitted proposals.

(2) A competitive range will be based upon evaluation of the submitted quality proposals and past performance information. The Government will evaluate past performance based on the submitted Past Performance Questionnaires and information obtained from the PPIMS, CCAS and/or ACAS databases and/or any other sources the government elects to access. The offeror may provide information on problems encountered and

corrective actions taken to resolve those problems on the contracts and subcontracts used for the Past Performance Questionnaires.

(3) Pricing proposals shall be reviewed only from the offerors in the competitive range. Proposals that have unrealistic coefficients will be deemed indicative of failure to comprehend the complexity and risks of the proposed contractual requirements and may be rejected.

(4) The price proposals will be evaluated with the rating value given to the submitted quality proposal and past performance for Best Value.

(5) Negotiations may be conducted with offerors who are in the competitive range.

c. Award will be made to the offeror whose offer will be most advantageous to the Government considering the evaluation factors at M.3 Evaluation Factors.

2. EVALUATION ASSESSMENT

a. Each factor and subfactor will be examined using the following assessments. Evaluators will be consistent in using these assessments, as they will be aids to the evaluator in examining each proposal.

(1) UNDERSTANDING OF TASK REQUIREMENTS. The extent to which the proposal demonstrates a clear understanding and analysis of all task requirements in the RFP.

(2) MEETING REQUIREMENTS. The extent to which the proposed effort will meet the task requirements defined in the RFP.

(3) FEASIBILITY OF APPROACH. The soundness of approach and the extent to which the proposal demonstrates satisfactory methods of accomplishing the requirements of the RFP.

(4) COMPLETENESS. The extent to which all requirements have been considered and are defined and satisfied, rating each proposal strictly in accordance with its oral content. The evaluation team will not assume that the offerors performance will include areas not specified in its written proposal.

3. EVALUATION FACTORS

a. In selecting the offer most advantageous to the Government, the factors used are Quality, Past Performance, and Price. Of the following evaluation factors: The Quality Factor is more important than the Past Performance Factor. The Past Performance Factor is less important than the Price Factor. When Quality Factor is combined with Past Performance Factor, they are equal to the Price Factor.

(1) Quality Factor. Within the Quality Factor, the following sub-factors are listed in descending order of importance:

Subfactor 1: Management Control Plan

Subfactor 2: Quality Control Plan

Subfactor 3: Work Execution Plan

(2) Past Performance Factor. There are no subfactors for the Past Performance factor. Past Performance is evaluated at the factor level. A past performance assessment will be a means of evaluating the credibility of the offerors proposal and relative capability to meet performance requirements. Offerors are to describe any awards or certifications that make its company stand out. The Government will focus on information that demonstrates quality of performance relative to the size and complexity of the procurement. Additionally, your completed Past Performance Questionnaires will be reviewed and rated by the evaluators as part of the evaluation process as well as

information obtained from the PPIMS, CCAS, ACAS databases and/or any other sources the government elects to access. Offerors that are newly formed entities with no contract experience shall obtain references for its key personnel with respect to work they performed on prior contracts and subcontracts. Additionally, the newly formed offeror shall use the Past Performance Questionnaire for its key personnel. If information is being provided for key personnel, that fact shall be clearly stated. If an offeror, or the proposed key personnel for the offeror, do not have a past performance history relating to this solicitation, the offeror may not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably on past performance. **If a teaming arrangement is submitted, past performance shall be evaluated based on the past performance only of the signing offeror. If a mentor prot g agreement is submitted, past performance shall be evaluated based on the past performance of each participant.**

(3) Price Factor. There are no subfactors for the Price factor. Price will be evaluated using price analysis techniques. In selecting the best overall proposal, the Government will consider the value of each proposal in terms of the quality offered for the price. In the selection, the importance of price will increase as the quality differences between proposals decrease. For the purposes of evaluation, the Government will assume that **90%** of the work will be done during normal working hours and that **10%** will be done in other than normal working hours. Proposals that have unrealistic coefficients will be deemed indicative of failure to comprehend the complexity and risks of the proposed contractual requirements and may be rejected.

(End of Summary of Changes)